IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 30284

| STATE OF IDAHO, |) 2008 Unpublished Opinion No. 569 |
|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| Plaintiff-Respondent, |) Filed: July 31, 2008 |
| v. |) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk |
| WILLIAM B. EWING, |) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED |
| Defendant-Appellant. |) OPINION AND SHALL NOT) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY |
| |) |

Appeal from the District Court of the First Judicial District, State of Idaho, Bonner County. Hon. Steven C. Verby, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of fifteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of five years, for felony domestic violence in the presence of a child, <u>affirmed</u>.

Molly J. Huskey, State Appellate Public Defender; Jason C. Pintler, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

PER CURIAM

William B. Ewing was convicted of felony domestic violence in the presence of a child, Idaho Code §§ 18-918(3)(7)(b), -918(6). The district court imposed a unified sentence of fifteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of five years, suspended the sentence and placed Ewing on probation. Ewing appeals, contending that the sentence is excessive. During the pendency of this appeal. Ewing's probation was revoked and his sentence executed. He apparently is now on parole.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of a sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing

the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Ewing's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.